

"Radiographic Equipment"

Technical Field

This invention concerns radiographic equipment. In particular the invention
5 concerns radiographic equipment for the detection of concealed articles, substances and materials. For instance, the invention may be applied to the detection of concealed weapons, explosives, contraband, drugs and other articles, substances and materials in items such as aircraft baggage, airfreight or shipping containers.

10 Background Art

Technologies based on X-rays, gamma-rays and neutrons have been proposed to tackle this problem (Hussein, E., 1992, Gozani, T., 1997, An, J. et al, 2003). The most widely adopted technology is the X-ray scanner which forms an image of an item being examined by measuring the transmission of X-rays through the item from a source to a
15 spatially segmented detector. X-rays are most strongly attenuated by dense, higher atomic number materials such as metals. Consequently, X-ray scanners are ideal for detecting items such as guns, knives and other weapons. However, X-rays provide little discriminating power between organic and inorganic elements. Using X-rays the separation of illicit organic materials such as explosives or narcotics from commonly
20 found, benign organic materials is not possible.

An elemental identification system is being developed for the inspection of commodities shipped on pallets. The system called NELIS (Neutron Elemental Analysis System) utilises a 14 MeV neutron generator and three gamma ray detectors to measure induced gamma rays from the cargo (Dokhale, P.A. et al, 2001; Barzilov,
25 A.P., Womble, P.C. and Vourvopoulos, G., 2001). NELIS is not an imaging system and is used in conjunction with an X-ray scanner to help identify gross composition anomalies.

A Pulsed Fast Neutron Analysis (PFNA) cargo inspection system has been developed (Gozani, T., 1997, Sawa et al., 1991) and commercialised through Ancore
30 Corporation. The PFNA system uses a collimated beam of nanosecond-pulsed fast neutrons and the resulting spectrum of gamma rays is measured. The PFNA method allows the ratios of key organic elements to be measured. The nanosecond-pulsed fast neutrons are required in order to localise the specific regions contributing to the measured gamma-ray signal by time-of-flight spectrometry. In practice the technique is
35 limited by the very expensive and complex particle accelerator, the limited neutron

source strength and low gamma-ray detection efficiency and the resulting slow scan speeds.

Neutron radiography systems have the advantage of direct measurement of transmitted neutrons and are therefore more efficient than techniques measuring secondary radiation such as neutron-induced gamma rays. Fast neutron radiography has the potential to determine the line-of-sight 'organic image' of objects (Klann, 1996). In contrast to X-rays, neutrons are most strongly attenuated by organic materials, especially those with high hydrogen contents.

A fast neutron and gamma ray and radiography system has been developed by Rynes et al (1999) to supplement PFNA. In this system nanosecond-pulsed fast neutrons and gamma rays from an accelerator are transmitted through the object and the detected neutron and gamma ray signals are separated by arrival time. The resulting system is claimed to combine the advantages of both X-ray radiography and PFNA systems. However it is limited by the very expensive and complex particle accelerator.

Bartle (1995) has suggested using the fast neutron and gamma-ray transmission technique (Millen et al, 1990) to detect the presence contraband in luggage, etc. However this technique has not been used for imaging and its practical application to contraband detection has not been investigated.

Mikarov, V.I. et al, (2000) have investigated the possibility of fast neutron radiography using a 14 MeV neutron generator and luminescent screen/CCD camera detection system. Mikarov found that applications were limited by both the low detection efficiency of the 2 mm thick luminescent screen for fast neutrons and the high sensitivity of the screen to X rays produced by the neutron generator.

Neutron radiography systems using a 14 MeV generator and thermal neutron detection are commercially available (Le Tourneur, P., Bach, P. and Dance, W.E., 1998). However the fact that the fast neutrons are slowed down (thermalised) prior to performing radiography limits the size of the object being imaged to a few cm. No fast neutron radiography systems are commercially available that involve fast neutron detection.

Most work conducted with neutron radiography has been conducted in the laboratory using neutrons from nuclear reactors or particle accelerators that are not suited to a freight-handling applications (Lefevre, H.W, et al, 1996, Miller, T.G., 1997, Chen, G. and Lanza, R.C., 2000, Brzosko, J.S. et al, 1992).

To improve the ability of fast neutron radiography systems to provide discrimination between various organic materials, systems using multiple neutron energy sources, together with detectors with the means for distinguishing between the

different neutron energies have been proposed (Chen, G. and Lanza, R.C., 2000, Buffler, 2001). The key drawbacks of these systems have been their reliance on complex, energy-discriminating neutron detectors and/or their use of sophisticated, high-energy accelerator-based neutron sources.

- 5 Perion et al. (Perion, 2000) have proposed a scanner using a high-energy (MeV) X-ray Bremsstrahlung or radioisotope source. By either modulating the average source energy by rapidly inserting and removing a low atomic number filter, or by measuring the energy of detected X-rays, it is possible to measure transmission through the object being scanned over two different X-ray energies, one where Compton scattering
10 dominates and one where pair-production is significant. This information can be used to deduce the density and average atomic number of material in each pixel of the scan image. The main drawback of this scheme is the low contrast between different elements, even when very high energy X-ray sources are used. The cost of the Perion detector array would also be very high. Alternatively, Perion suggests that
15 measurement of the transmission of both X-rays and neutrons (produced either directly in the Bremsstrahlung target or by inserting a neutron-producing filter) can yield similar information. The main disadvantage of this method is the low energy of neutrons produced via (gamma,n) reactions. This limits the ability of the neutrons to penetrate through thick cargoes and increases the difficulty in adequately detecting the
20 transmitted neutrons. In particular, it is unlikely that the disclosed stacked scintillator detector would be able to distinguish neutrons in the presence of a much more intense X-ray beam. A disadvantage of both the dual energy X-ray and the X-ray/neutron schemes is that the X-rays and neutrons cover a wide range of energies. This means that it is not possible to model transmission using a simple exponential relation and that
25 it is not straightforward to extract quantitative cross-section information that could be used for material identification.

Disclosure of Invention

The present invention is radiographic equipment comprising:

- 30 a source of substantially mono-energetic fast neutrons produced via the deuterium-tritium or deuterium-deuterium fusion reactions, comprising a sealed-tube or similar generator for producing the neutrons;
a source of X-rays or gamma-rays of sufficient energy to substantially penetrate an object to be imaged;

a collimating block surrounding the neutron and X-ray and gamma-ray sources, apart from the provision of one or more slots for emitting substantially fan-shaped radiation beams;

5 a detector array comprising a multiplicity of individual scintillator pixels to receive radiation energy emitted from the sources and convert the received energy into light pulses, the detector array aligned with the fan-shaped radiation beams emitted from the source collimator and collimated to substantially prevent radiation other than that directly transmitted from the sources reaching the array;

conversion means for converting the light pulses produced in the scintillators
10 into electrical signals;

conveying means for conveying the object between the sources and the detector array;

computing means for determining from the electrical signals the attenuation of the neutrons and the X-ray or gamma-ray beams and to generate output representing the
15 mass distribution and composition of the object interposed between the sources and detector array; and

display means for displaying images based on the mass distribution and the composition of the object being scanned.

20 An advantage of the present invention is that the neutrons are essentially mono-energetic. Hence it is possible to model the neutron transmission using a simple exponential relation and moreover, information is more accurately obtained which is useful for material identification.

The equipment according to at least one embodiment of the invention has the
25 added advantage of direct measurement of transmitted neutrons and is therefore much more efficient when compared with prior art systems which measure secondary radiation such as neutron-induced gamma rays .

The radiographic equipment may utilise one or more neutron energies. In an example of a dual neutron energy technique, the radiographic equipment may utilise
30 two tubes, one to produce substantially 14 MeV neutrons via the deuterium-tritium fusion reaction and a second to produce substantially 2.45 MeV neutrons via the deuterium-deuterium fusion reaction. The measurement of the neutron transmission at a second energy can be used to enhance the capability of the single energy transmission technique.

35 The source of X-rays or gamma-rays may comprise a radioisotope source such as ^{60}Co or ^{137}Cs with energy sufficient to substantially penetrate through the object to

be imaged. The ^{60}Co or ^{137}Cs source may have an energy of about 1 MeV although other energies may be used depending on the source. Alternatively an X-ray tube, or an electron linear accelerator to produce Bremsstrahlung radiation could be used.

Collimation of both the source of X-rays or gamma-rays and the source of
5 neutrons, advantageously acts to minimise scattering. Furthermore, appropriate collimation of both the sources and detector ensures a narrow beam geometry and therefore greater accuracy when determining the attenuation of neutrons and gamma rays through an object. Moreover, the highly collimated fan-shaped beam provides increased radiation safety. The collimating block may be manufactured from thick
10 paraffin, thick concrete, iron-shot concrete shielding blocks, steel, lead, or the like. Similarly, the or each detector array may be housed within a detector shielding having a slot in order to provide the collimation. The detector collimation shielding may be made from iron and may have a thickness of greater than about 100 mm. The width of the slot may be selected to allow direct passage of neutrons and gamma rays from the
15 source to the detector and to shield the detector array from scattered radiation. The detector slot may be about the same width as the detector array. The source collimator slots may be narrower.

The detector array may comprise one or more columns of scintillator pixels.

The same detector array may be able to sense both neutrons and X-rays or
20 gamma rays. Energy discrimination may be used to distinguish the signals or the detector can operate sequentially on the neutrons and X-rays or gamma rays. An advantage of using the same detector array to sense neutrons and X-rays or gamma rays, is that a reduction in the cost of the detector array may be achieved.

Optionally, separate detector arrays may be used to respectively sense the
25 neutrons and X-rays or gamma rays, with or without separate neutron and X-ray or gamma-ray detector collimators.

The scintillators may be selected such that their spectral response is closely matched to the photodiodes. The scintillators may further be surrounded by a mask to cover at least a portion of each of the scintillators, each mask having a first reflective
30 surface to reflect escaped light pulses back into the scintillator. The mask will have an opening to allow scintillator light to be detected by the photodiode. The mask may comprise layers of PTFE tape and/or Tyvek paper. Advantageously, the efficiency of plastic scintillators with a mask for neutrons may be greater than 10%. The material surrounding the scintillators acts to ensure that light which escapes the scintillators is
35 reflected back to be detected. In an example where each detector array includes orange-light emitting plastic scintillators and silicon photodiodes, the equipment may

advantageously have a higher performance efficiency allowing images to be collected more quickly. Moreover, the equipment may be manufactured at a relatively cheaper cost.

5 Silicone oil, GE-688 grease, polysiloaxine, optical cement such as Eljen EJ-500 cement, or the like may be used to optically couple the photodiodes to the respective scintillators.

Where the radiographic equipment comprises a single detector array for sensing both neutrons and X-rays or gamma rays, the scintillators may be plastic scintillators or liquid scintillators.

10 In a further example where the radiographic equipment comprises dual neutron sources and a source of X-rays or gamma-rays, the scintillators may be plastic or liquid scintillators. In this example, the scintillators may be coupled to photomultiplier tubes.

Where the radiographic equipment comprises separate neutron and gamma-ray detector arrays, the neutron scintillators may be preferentially plastic scintillators or
15 liquid scintillators and the gamma-ray scintillators may be plastic scintillators, liquid scintillators or inorganic scintillators such as caesium iodide, sodium iodide or bismuth germanate. Alternatively the X-ray or gamma ray detectors may be ionisation chambers.

The radiation receiving face of each scintillator, or the 'area' of each scintillator,
20 corresponds to a single pixel. The area of each scintillator may typically be smaller than about 20 mm by 20 mm. Smaller areas lead to improved spatial resolution.

The thickness of each scintillator may be in the range 50 to 100 mm and may be a function of the detection efficiency and light collection efficiency. In an example where the object to be imaged is a unit load device or ULD such as those typically used
25 in airport environments, the radiation receiving face of the array of scintillators may have dimensions of about 120 mm x 3300 mm and may comprise about 1000 pixels. When combined with a 14 MeV neutron source energy of approximately 10^{10} neutrons/second, the contents of a single ULD may be imaged over a time period of about one minute.

30 Alternatively separate neutron and gamma-ray scintillators may be used, comprising, for example, about 1000 neutron pixels and about 500 gamma ray pixels. In practice the gamma ray pixels may be made smaller than the neutron pixels which advantageously provides high-resolution spatial images.

In a further example, the conversion means may comprise photomultiplier tubes
35 and wavelength shifting optical fibres (WSF). In this example, light from a row or column of scintillator rods may be collected by the WSF and transmitted to a multi-

anode photomultiplier tube. By indexing the row and column producing the light pulse, the scintillator rod intercepting the radiation may be inferred.

The conversion means may include low noise and high gain amplifiers to amplify the output signals. The conversion means may include a computer to perform
5 image processing and display the images to an operator on a computer screen.

The detector may be temperature controlled to reduce noise and improve stability. For instance, the photodiodes and preamplifiers may be cooled to about -10°C or lower.

In one example, as an object to be imaged is scanned, one or more outputs are
10 obtained measuring the transmission of, for instance, the 14 MeV neutrons through the object and the transmission of the 1 MeV X-ray or gamma-rays through the object. For dual energy neutron scanning, the transmission of say the 2.45 MeV neutrons through the object is also measured. The invention is not limited to the use of these energies alone.

15 Where a single detector array is used for receiving radiation energy from the source of X-rays or gamma rays and the source of neutrons, the object may be scanned more than once.

Where separate detectors are used for receiving radiation energy from the source of neutrons and the source of X-rays or gamma rays, the output signal may comprises a
20 first output from the first array of scintillators and a second output from the second array of scintillators, where the first output is related to the neutron count rate in each pixel location of the detector, and the second output is related to the X-ray or gamma-ray count rate in each pixel location of the detector.

Each of the source inputs may be separately processed. A simple scintillation
25 spectrum may be collected separately for each pixel of the array to deduce neutron and X-ray or gamma-ray count rates for each pixel. The information may then be assembled to form a complete 2-dimensional neutron image and a complete 2-dimensional X-ray or gamma-ray image. The resulting image may have a vertical resolution governed by the pixel size, and a horizontal resolution governed by the pixel
30 size and the frequency with which the array is read out.

The computer may also be able to perform automatic material identification. For instance, the transmission outputs may be converted to mass-attenuation coefficient images for each pixel for display on a computer screen with different pixel values mapped to different colours. In particular mass-attenuation coefficient images may be
35 obtained from the count rates measured from the transmissions for each of the 14 MeV

neutrons and X- or gamma-rays or the 14 MeV neutrons, 2.45 MeV neutrons and X- or gamma-rays.

Analysis of the mass-attenuation coefficient images allows a variety of inorganic and organic materials to be distinguished. Such analysis may include
5 forming cross section ratio images between pairs of mass attenuation coefficient images. Depending on whether a single or dual neutron sources are utilised, cross section ratio images may be formed from the mass-attenuation coefficient images of the source of neutrons and the X-rays or gamma-rays, or the first and second sources of neutrons and the first or second source of neutrons and the X-rays or gamma-rays. For
10 example, the 14 MeV neutrons and the X-rays or gamma-rays, the 14 MeV neutrons and the 2.45 MeV neutrons, and the 2.45 MeV neutrons and the X-rays or gamma-rays. Advantageously, such ratios are independent of the mass of the object.

The proportions in which the cross section ratio images are combined may be operator adjusted to maximise contrast and sensitivity to a particular object being
15 examined in the image.

An image may be formed that is a linear combination of two cross section ratio images.

Two regions in an image may be identified which contain a first substance, but only one of the regions may contain a second substance. By performing cross section
20 subtractions the image of the first substance may be effectively removed leaving the image of the second substance available for identification. The mass of the second substance may be obtained from the X- or gamma-ray transmission data.

In one example, the source of neutrons and the detector are stationary and the conveyance means is arranged such that the object is moved in front of the source of
25 neutrons and gamma rays. In a further example, the object may be stationary and the conveyance means arranged such that the source and the detector move in synchronicity either side of the object. In a still further example, multiple sets of detectors may be situated around sources which are centrally located to allow scans of a plurality of separate objects to be acquired simultaneously. This would have the
30 advantage of improving throughput. In such an example, the conveyance means may be arranged such that the objects can be moved between the source of neutrons and the respective detector. Alternatively, the sources and detectors can be rotated around the object to be examined to allow multiple views to be obtained.

The rate at which the object is able to be moved in front of either the source of
35 neutrons, or, the source of neutrons and X-rays or gamma-rays is partially dependent on the intensity of the neutron and gamma ray sources. The intensity of the single neutron

source of 14 MeV may be in the order 10^{10} neutrons/second, or as high as practically possible in order to improve counting statistics.

The rate at which the object is able to be moved in front of the source of neutrons and X-rays or gamma-rays is further dependent on the radiation receiving face of the array of scintillators and the number of scintillators. In addition, the length of the array is partially dependent on the length of the object to be imaged.

The object may be scanned between the neutron and gamma ray sources and detector and may pass through a shielded tunnel. The conveyance means may comprise a pair of rails for the positioning of a dolly or platform on which the object may be transported. Alternatively, the conveyance means may include a conveyor belt or other like arrangement for passing or winching objects through the tunnel. The conveyance means may be automated such that the object is smoothly transported in front of the source of neutrons at a controllable uniform rate.

The invention may be applied to non-invasive examination of sea cargo, air cargo Unit Load Devices (ULD), or smaller containers or packages, the detection of contraband, explosives and other articles, substances and materials. It may provide improved specificity for contraband materials, such as organic materials in primarily inorganic matrices, as well as the detection and identification of specific classes of organic material. It is particularly suited for the detection of explosives, narcotics and other contraband items concealed in aircraft baggage, airfreight containers and shipping containers.

A further advantage of at least one embodiment of the invention is that use of a neutron generator for producing neutrons is able to be switched on and off.

It may also provide increased automation of the inspection process, with reduced reliance on human operators.

Further, it may provide a fast scanning rate so that a high throughput can be achieved. It is simple, low-cost and uses safe radiation sources; and simple, low-cost radiation detection systems. It may operate with a high detection rate and low false alarm probability.

Brief Description of Drawings

Several examples of the invention will now be described with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a perspective view of the radiographic equipment;

Figure 2 is a schematic illustration of one module of the radiographic equipment's detector array;

Figure 3 is a bar graph of the calculated ratio, R, the ratio of the 14 MeV neutron to ^{60}Co gamma-ray mass attenuation coefficients for a large number of benign, narcotic and explosive materials;

Figure 4 is a plot of the calculated ratio, R, the ratio of the 14 MeV neutron to the ^{60}Co gamma-ray mass attenuation coefficients for a range of elements;

Figure 5a is a display output of a gamma-ray scan of a motor bike, figure 5b is a display output in which the image is coloured according to the mass attenuation coefficient ratio, R, for 14 MeV neutrons and gamma rays;

Figure 6a is a schematic illustration of a selection of material samples and common objects arranged on wooden shelves; Figure 6b is a display output of a gamma-ray scan; Figure 6c is a display output in which the image is coloured according to the mass attenuation coefficient ratio, R, for 14 MeV neutrons and gamma rays;

Figure 7a is a schematic illustration of a selection of material samples, concealed contraband, alcohol, as well as simulated and real explosives; Figure 7b is a display output of a gamma-ray scan; Figure 7c is a display output in which the image is coloured according to the mass attenuation coefficient ratio, R, for 14 MeV neutrons and gamma rays;

Figure 8a is a photograph of a ULD containing assorted household electronics metal items, concrete blocks and concealed contraband; Figure 8b is a display output of a gamma-ray scan; Figure 8c is a display output in which the image is coloured according to the mass attenuation coefficient ratio, R, for 14 MeV neutrons and gamma rays; Figure 8d is the display output of figure 8c which has been further processed to emphasise the organic material;

Figure 9a is a photograph of a ULD containing assorted household items and concealed drugs; Figure 9b is a display output of a gamma-ray scan; Figure 9c is a display output in which the image is coloured according to the mass attenuation coefficient ratio, R, for 14 MeV neutrons and gamma rays R; Figure 9d is the display output of figure 9c which has been further processed to emphasise the organic material;

Figure 10a is a photograph of a ULD containing assorted household items and concealed drugs, Figure 10b is a display output of a gamma-ray scan; Figure 10c is a display output in which the image is coloured according to the mass attenuation coefficient ratio, R, for 14 MeV neutrons and gamma rays; Figure 10d is the display output of figure 10c which has been further processed to emphasise the organic material;

Figure 11 is a plot of a large number of benign, narcotic and explosive materials in terms of two cross-section ratios, namely 2.45 MeV neutron/14 MeV neutron cross-sections versus 14 MeV neutron/X- or gamma-ray cross-sections;

Figure 12a is a simulated count rate DT neutron image of a suitcase; Figure 12b is a simulated count rate image of a DD neutron image of the suitcase; Figure 12c is a simulated count rate X ray image of the suitcase; Figure 12d is a DT/X-ray cross section image and Figure 12e is a DD/DT cross-section image; and

Figure 13a is a simulated 14 MeV neutron image of an air freight container; Figure 13b is an X-ray image respectively of the same container; and Figure 13c is a combined image of the same container.

Best Mode for Carrying Out the Invention

Figure 1 illustrates the general layout of the radiographic equipment 10. The equipment 10 includes two separate generators of radiation, the first is an A-325 MF Physics neutron generator having a D-T neutron emitting module to produce a neutron energy source 12 having an energy of 14 MeV. The neutron generator is operated at a voltage of 80-110 kV. The second generator of radiation is a 0.82 GBq (or 22 mCi) ^{60}Co source 14 to produce a source of gamma-rays and is located to the right of and adjacent to the neutron generator. The neutron generator and ^{60}Co source 14 are situated within a source shield housing 16.

A 1600 mm long and 20 mm wide detector array 18 is situated in the vicinity of the radiation source and is housed in a detector shield housing 20. The detector array 18, more clearly shown in figure 2, is built up of eighty plastic scintillator rods 19 (of which only a portion are shown), each with a radiation receiving area of 20 mm x 20 mm, and a length of 75 mm. The radiation receiving area of each scintillator rod 19 corresponds to a single pixel in the image-frame. The term image frame is used to describe the two-dimensional array containing the number of counts measured in each pixel, accumulated over a fixed time interval. The scintillator rods 19 are made of an orange plastic scintillator in order to match the spectral response of the silicon photodiodes 21 to the respective plastic scintillators. The photodiodes 21 are optically coupled to respective scintillators 19 with optical cement. A reflective mask is painted on each of the orange scintillator rod and photodiode combinations to minimise the loss of any light that escapes the scintillator rods.

In the primary embodiment, the scintillation light produced in a rod 19 by an incident neutron or X- or gamma-ray is detected by a photodiode 21 attached to the end of the rod 19. In a first variation, light from a row or column of scintillator rods is

collected by a wavelength shifting optical fibre and transmitted to the photodiode. By indexing the row and column producing the light pulse, the scintillator rod intercepting the radiation can be inferred. In a second variation, light from a multiplicity of scintillator rods is collected by wave-length shifting or transparent optical fibre and
5 directed to a position sensitive photodiode or multi-anode photomultiplier tube, to allow multiple scintillator rods to be read out by a single detector. In a third variation, light from several rows or columns of scintillator rods is collected by wave-length shifting optical fibres and transmitted to a position sensitive photodiode or multi-anode photomultiplier. By indexing the row and column producing the light pulse, the
10 scintillator rod intercepting the radiation can be inferred.

Since respective photodiodes 21 have no internal gain, the signal conditioning electronics 23 include preamplifiers used in conjunction with high-gain amplifiers in order to amplify the output signal for both neutrons and gamma-rays.

The equipment 10 accommodates a ULD 28 with a width up to 2.5 m and a
15 height of 1.7 m. Each ULD 28 to be imaged is mounted on a platform 30 that has runners to engage with a pair of tracks 32. In practice in an airport the ULDs could be scanned while still mounted on their respective dollies that are used to transport the ULDs around the airport. The ULDs and their dollies could be driven onto a platform that would traverse the radiation beams at a known speed. This would minimise the
20 handling of ULDs at the airport.

A further shield in the form of a tunnel 34 is provided. The tunnel 34 is sufficiently long enough so that the equipment can be operated without doors on either end. This permits the number of ULDs passing through the equipment 10 to be maximised.

25 Collimating slits (not shown) are cut into the source and detector shield respectively serve to define a fan shaped radiation beam, directed from the sources 12 and 14 towards the radiation detector 18. The detector collimating slit 38 and detector 18 extend the full height of the tunnel 34. Slots (not shown) in the sides of the shield 34 are provided and mate with collimating slits and for the passage of radiation from
30 the sources 12, 14 to the detector 18.

Each of the radiation shields 16, 20 and 34, attenuate and absorb both gamma rays and neutrons. Shielding materials used include concrete, iron and polyethylene. The radiation shields 16, 20 and 34 provide radiological protection for operators of the equipment or other persons in its immediate vicinity.

35 In operation, objects that are to be imaged are situated on the platform 30 that is then motorised through the tunnel 34. In the full-scale prototype scanner described

here the platform 30 is typically operated at a speed such that each 10 mm increment takes approximately forty seconds to collect. This corresponds to a speed of 0.25 mm/sec; consequently, about 2½ hours are required to collect the image of a full ULD. In practice, the speed at which the ULD travels through the equipment can be increased
 5 by a factor of over one hundred by increasing the intensity of the neutron source and by increasing the area of the detector array.

As the object passes through the tunnel 34, a scintillation spectrum is collected separately for each element of the 80-pixel array. These spectra are read out and reset every time the platform 30 traverses 10 mm and the spectra are used to deduce neutron
 10 and gamma-ray count rates for each pixel. The information in each vertical strip is then assembled to form complete, 2-dimensional neutron and gamma-ray images.

The resulting image has a vertical resolution of 20 mm, governed by the pixel size, and a horizontal resolution of 10 mm, governed by the frequency with which the 80-pixel array is read out. As discussed below, deconvolution of the final image is
 15 performed to correct any blurring that may arise as a result of the combination of the motion of the platform 30 during the scan and the 20 mm width of the pixels.

Suppose that the neutron intensity and gamma-ray intensity transmitted through an object and detected in a particular pixel from each image are I_n and I_g respectively and that the neutron intensity and gamma-ray intensity transmitted and detected in a
 20 particular pixel from each image without an object present are I_{on} and I_{og} respectively.

Then the attenuation of essentially monoenergetic fast neutrons through an object of density ρ and thickness x can be calculated using the equation:

$$I_n/I_{on} = \exp(-\mu_{14} \rho x) \quad (1)$$

25

Similarly the attenuation of essentially monoenergetic gamma ray attenuation through the object can be written as:

$$I_g/I_{og} = \exp(-\mu_g \rho x) \quad (2)$$

30

where μ_{14} is the neutron mass attenuation coefficient at 14 MeV and μ_g is the gamma mass attenuation coefficient. The mass attenuation coefficient ratio can then be calculated directly:

$$35 \quad R = \mu_{14}/\mu_g = \ln(I_n/I_{on}) / \ln(I_g/I_{og}) \quad (3)$$

Where R is directly related to the composition of the object and allows a wide variety of inorganic and organic materials and elements to be distinguished.

Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the ability of R to distinguish a wide variety of inorganic and organic materials. Natural materials that are primarily carbohydrate based such as cotton, paper, wood as well as many foods, protein based natural materials such as wool, silk and leather and synthetic organic materials - mainly polymers can be broadly distinguished. As illustrated, inorganic materials such as pottery, ceramics and metal items are easily distinguished from organic materials.

Due to the higher count-rates and lower background scattering of the gamma rays, the gamma-ray image carries most of the information about shape and density. For each pixel in the image, the quantity $\ln(I_g/I_{0g})$ is calculated, which is proportional to the total mass per unit area of material along the line from the radiation source to the pixel in question. A "Mexican-hat" sharpening filter is applied to this image to improve object definition and reduce the effects of the motion and pixel-size blurring that affects the horizontal resolution of the image.

The pixel-by-pixel ratio of the neutron and gamma-ray images carries information about the average composition of each pixel, which is independent of the amount of intervening material.

Due to the relatively low counting statistics in the neutron image, there is considerable pixel-to-pixel noise present in the composition image. Consequently, a 5×5-pixel Gaussian smoothing filter is applied to this image. Whilst this reduces the resolution of the composition information in the final image, it significantly enhances the visibility of subtle changes in composition for objects with dimensions of more than about 50 mm.

The results from six scans are shown in figures 5 to 10. The gray-scale images illustrate the results of the gamma-ray scan alone and as such show the results that would be achievable from a conventional X-ray scanner. Regions with little or no intervening material show as white and denser materials show as darker shades of grey. The colour images combine the gamma-ray shape and density information, together with the composition information from the neutron/gamma ratio image. The density of colour shows the material density with white corresponding to no intervening material and denser regions having a saturated colour. The colour of a pixel corresponds to the R value for that pixel, with lower R values coloured blue, intermediate values turquoise through green to yellow and higher values orange. The exact mapping between R value and colour is different for each image, with the colour scale adjusted to show the maximum information in each case. For the ULD scans, an enhanced organic image is

also presented. This emphasises organic regions of the image, which are coloured yellow, orange and red.

Figure 5a illustrates the result of the gamma ray scan alone of a motorbike. Figure 5b illustrates the combined gamma-ray shape and density information together with the composition information from the neutron/gamma ratio image scan of a motorbike. This image provides a good indication of the overall imaging capabilities of the equipment. In particular, fine details such as the front brake cables 52 show quite clearly in figure 5b, even though they are considerably smaller than the 20 mm pixel size. The metal frame 54 and engine 56 of the bike show up blue in figure 5b; whereas the fuel 58 in the petrol tank, rubber tyres 60, plastic seat 62 and plastic lights show up orange. The oil 64 in the sump (immediately above the kickstand), when averaged together with the metal around it shows as a green patch. In contrast, from the conventional gamma-ray image figure 5a, it is difficult or impossible to distinguish between the oil 64 and the sump.

Figures 6a to 6c illustrate a selection of material samples and common objects arranged on wooden shelves. Again, as illustrated in figure 6c, metals such as iron 66, lead 68 and aluminium 70 show up dark-blue. Intermediate materials such as concrete 72, glass 74 (in the computer monitor 75) and ceramic powder (alumina, Al_2O_3) 76 show up lighter blue. Finally, the organic materials, including elemental simulants of heroin 77, methamphetamine 78, cocaine 80 and TNT 82 show up in a variety of colours from green to orange, depending on the R value of the material. Two ceramic statues on the top shelf, one filled with iron shot 84 and the other with sugar 86 can be clearly distinguished, both by density and by composition.

Figure 7a to 7c illustrate a further selection of materials, including concealed contraband, alcohol and both simulated and real (Detasheet) explosives. Three hollow concrete blocks are positioned on the top shelf. The left-hand block contains concealed organic material 94 (drug substitute); the centre block is empty and the right hand block contains alumina powder 96. These three blocks provide simple models of drugs concealed within a ceramic or pottery object, a hollow, empty object and a hollow, empty object with thickened walls. Whilst the gamma-ray image of figure 7b clearly distinguishes between the empty 95 and filled blocks 94 and 96, it cannot separate the drug-surrogate filled block 94 from the alumina filled block 96. In contrast, the neutron image of figure 6c clearly reveals the concealed organic filling 94 shown as a yellow/orange patch. On the left hand side of the middle shelf are positioned two containers, one filled with pure alcohol 98 (Meths) and one with water 100 (H_2O). The alcohol 98 shows clearly as being more 'organic' (higher R value) and is predominantly

orange in colour; the water 100, with a lower R value is predominantly green. On the same shelf, the simulated 102 and real 104 explosives show as the same colour showing that the simulant is a good substitute for real explosive. On the bottom shelf is a case containing twelve glass bottles of which only four are visible, two filled with simulated
5 spirits 106 (40% ethanol, 60% water) and two filled with water 108. Again, the alcohol filled bottles 106 show up as having a higher R value (more green/orange) than the water 108 (predominantly blue). This is in contrast to the bottles shown in figure 7b which are almost indistinguishable.

Figures 8a to 8d, 9a to 9d and 10a to 10d illustrate the results of imaging ULDs
10 filled with a variety of objects. In all three figures, the filling of the ULD has been deliberately kept fairly simple, to simplify discussion of the results obtained. In particular, most of the packing material that would normally be present (cardboard boxes, foam, polystyrene etc) has been omitted so that the objects in the ULD can be clearly seen. It is recognised that in reality, most ULDs would be considerably more
15 cluttered.

Figures 8a to 8d illustrates a ULD filled with a variety of household electronics (a refrigerator 120 and several computers 122), metal parts, hollow concrete blocks 124 (substituting for ceramic pipes or hollow statues or figurines) and tools. Two packets of plastic beads, substituting for drugs 126, are concealed within one of the computers
20 and inside one of the concrete blocks. A propane gas cylinder 128 is also hidden inside the ULD. Figure 8a illustrates a photograph of the ULD scanner. Figure 8b shows the results of the gamma-ray scan only. Neither of the packets of surrogate drugs 126 are particularly obvious. The propane gas cylinder 128 can be identified on the basis of its shape, although the organic nature of its contents is not clear. Figures 8c and 8d are
25 coloured according to the neutron/gamma ratio R, as a result the inorganic materials show up in figure 8c as blue (the surrogate drugs 126 and the gas cylinder 128) and the organic materials as orange (the computer 122 and blocks 124). The proportions in which the two images are combined are adjusted by the operator to maximise contrast and sensitivity for organic materials which are coloured yellow and red and to minimise
30 the effects of clutter resulting from overlapping objects, the result is illustrate in figure 8d. Clearly both packets of concealed drugs 126 can be identified.

Figures 9a to 9d illustrates a ULD with drugs 124 concealed inside two computers 122 and a fridge 120. Whilst it can be seen in the gamma-ray image of figure 8b that the top two computers 122 appear somewhat different from the bottom
35 two, it is not clear whether this is a genuine difference in the structure of the machines. However, in the figures of 9c and 9d it is immediately apparent that the difference is

due to a large volume of organic material, as shown by the bright orange colour of these regions with drugs 124. The top two computers 122 contain ~1 kg bags of plastic beads simulating packaged drugs. This is in contrast to the predominantly blue (inorganic or low R value) colour of the rest of the computer structure 126. Similarly, it is not clear from the gamma-ray image of figure 9b of the fridge 120 whether the anomaly in the centre of the image is part of the structure of the fridge or not. However, in figures 9c and 9d it can be seen that the anomaly 124 is clearly organic and in contrast to the predominantly inorganic structure visible in the rest of the fridge (in particular, the compressor 125 at the lower right and the freezer compartment at the top). Again, in the enhanced organic image of figure 9d the concealed drugs 124 are clearly visible. Additionally, other organic material in the ULD (notably the wooden shelving 128 behind the fridge 120 and the container of water 127 to the left of the fridge 120) also shows up as orange.

Figures 10a to 10d illustrate a second ULD with real concealed drugs (1 kg each of heroin and methamphetamine). The heroin 130 is hidden inside a hollow concrete block 132. The methamphetamine 134 is hidden inside a small box, which is placed inside a larger box 136 filled with clothing. The organic nature of the concealed drugs is evident from the colouring in the composition images of figures 10c and 10d. Once again, the enhanced organic image of figure 10d effectively reveals the concealed drugs 130 and 134, especially the heroin 130 coloured yellow inside the concrete blocks 132. As the methamphetamine 134 is concealed within the box 136 of clothing (immediately behind the front fork of the bicycle 140), composition discrimination is less revealing in this case. However, the package of drugs 134 can be identified as a potential anomaly on the basis of its shape and higher density.

The radiographic equipment as described can be used in at least three ways for detecting and identifying contraband materials. Firstly, the gamma-ray images provide considerable information about the shapes, sizes and densities of objects inside an object such as a ULD. Some suspicious materials can be identified on this basis. Particular examples would be packets of drugs concealed inside spaces or cavities of hollow objects. Secondly, the colouring of the gamma-ray image on the basis of composition information derived from the neutron measurements provides powerful extra clues in the interpretation of scan images and identification of suspicious materials. In particular, the detection of organic materials inside predominantly inorganic objects is greatly facilitated. Thirdly, under certain circumstances, the equipment can be used to measure the neutron/gamma ratio (R values) of suspicious materials to further assist in their identification. This approach works best when there is

little over- or under-lying material around the substance being measured, or when the over- and under-lying material is reasonably uniform in the immediate vicinity of the measurement region. Under these circumstances, it is possible to make an approximate correction for the absorption of neutrons and gamma rays in the over- and under-lying material to obtain the R value of just the substance of interest.

A second embodiment applies directly to the dual energy fast neutron transmission embodiment for 14 MeV and 2.45 MeV. However the following discussion also applies to the dual energy transmission at different energies to 2.45 and 14 MeV. However unlike single energy neutron transmission discussed previously, three count rates are measured at each pixel rather than two in the case of single neutron transmission, and two-cross-section ratios can be calculated.

Suppose that the count rates in a particular pixel from each image are r_{14} , $r_{2.45}$ and r_X respectively. These rates are related to the (unknown) mass of material m between the source and detection points and the (unknown) mass attenuation coefficients of this material for 14 MeV neutrons, 2.45 MeV neutrons and X- or gamma-rays, written as μ_{14} , $\mu_{2.45}$ and μ_X respectively, by the relations:

$$r_{14} = R_{14} \exp(-m\mu_{14}) \quad (4)$$

$$r_X = R_X \exp(-m\mu_X) \quad (5)$$

$$r_{2.45} = R_{2.45} \exp(-m\mu_{2.45}) \quad (6)$$

where R_{14} , $R_{2.45}$ and R_X are respectively the count rates for 14 MeV neutrons, 2.45 MeV neutrons and X- or gamma-rays when no intervening object is present.

The cross-section ratios can be calculated directly:

$$\mu_{14}/\mu_X = \log(r_{14}/R_{14})/\log(r_X/R_X) \quad (7)$$

$$\mu_{2.45}/\mu_{14} = \log(r_{2.45}/R_{2.45})/\log(r_{14}/R_{14}) \quad (8)$$

Note that both of these ratios are independent of the mass of material present in the beam between the source and detector.

The cross-section ratios given by equations (7) and (8) allow a wide variety of organic and inorganic materials to be distinguished.

Figure 11 illustrates the ratio of 2.45 MeV neutron cross-section to 14 MeV neutron cross-section versus the ratio of 14 MeV neutron cross-section to X- or gamma-ray cross-section, for a selection of materials. The availability of two cross-

section ratios further enhances the ability of the invention to distinguish between different materials. Consequently, analysis of the three mass-attenuation coefficient images allows information about the contents of the object being examined to be inferred.

5 Figure 12 illustrates the additional benefit of using dual neutron energies, consider the simulated images of a suitcase 150 shown in Figures 12a to 12e. Images 12a to 12c correspond to equations (4) (5) and (6) and show the transmission of 14 MeV neutrons, 2.45 MeV neutrons and X- or gamma-rays respectively. Images 12d to 12e correspond to equations (7) and (8) and show the DT/X-ray and DD/DT cross-
10 sections respectively.

 The suitcase 150 is filled with clothing composed of cotton and wool, and contains various benign and suspicious objects. Bottle 152 contains water and bottle 154 contains spirits. The three blocks visible on the lower right of the suitcase 150 are a paperback book 156, heroin 158 and RDX explosive 160. A gun 162 is also visible in
15 the upper right of the suitcase 150.

 From a conventional X-ray image 12c, it is difficult or impossible to distinguish between the contents of the two bottles 152, 154, or the three packages 156, 158, 160 on the right hand side of the case that have similar densities. The neutron images 12a, 12b provide more contrast between the different materials, but the best results are
20 obtained from the cross-section ratio images 12d and 12e. In particular, the book 156 as shown in figures 12a and 12b virtually disappears in figures 12d and 12e as paper has a similar composition to the surrounding clothing, whereas the drugs 158 in figure 12e and explosive materials 160 in figures 12d and 12e can be clearly distinguished. A clear difference is also seen in both figures 12d and 12e between the bottles containing
25 water 152 and spirits 154.

 In a first variation of the dual neutron transmission method, the operator would form a new image that is a linear combination of the two cross-section ratio images. The proportions in which the two images are combined are adjusted by the operator to maximise contrast and sensitivity for contraband materials and to minimise the effects
30 of clutter resulting from overlapping objects.

 Figures 13a to 13b illustrate simulated 14 MeV neutron and X-ray images respectively of a container 170, taken from the side. Due to their high density, the steel pipes 176 dominate the images, making it hard to see the outlines of the computer equipment. However, by forming a single image, figure 13c, from the two cross-section
35 ratio images given by equations (7) and (8), it is possible to remove the "clutter" associated with the steel pipes 176, to reveal the computer boxes 174.

This approach can be understood with reference to Figure 11. Choosing a linear combination of images (7) and (8) is equivalent to colouring image pixels according to their distance from an arbitrarily orientated line drawn on Figure 11. By choosing this line to be parallel to two selected materials, any combination of these materials is coloured the same. In the example discussed, the line is chosen to be parallel to a line connecting steel and the polystyrene packaging of the computers. In this way, the steel pipes can be made to largely vanish where they pass in front of the computers. Figure 13c shows the results of this process.

Although one such example of the invention has been discussed, it should be appreciated that such an embodiment is only one of the many utilising the principles of the invention. Whilst in the above example, the radiation sources are situated on one side of the object to be examined and the detectors on the opposite side, in a first variation, the sources are situated above or below the object to be examined, with the detectors positioned on the opposite side (below or above respectively). In a second variation, the sources and detectors can be rotated around the object to be examined to allow multiple views to be obtained. In a third variation, multiple sets of sources and detectors are used to allow simultaneous collection of multiple views of the same object. In a fourth variation, multiple sets of detectors are disposed around a central source to allow views of multiple objects to be acquired simultaneously.

Of course, in operation, objects that are to be scanned may be passed through the tunnel on a conveyor belt or winched or pushed through using a suitable mechanism.

Whilst in the above embodiment, the two radiation sources are operated sequentially as the object is scanned through the analyser. In a first variation, the object is scanned through the analyser twice, with one source being operated for each scan. In a second variation, each source has a separate associated detector and the object is scanned only once. In a third variation, the two radiation sources are operated at the same time, a single detector is used and energy discrimination is used to separate the signals due to neutron and X- or gamma-rays.

In the variation (dual neutron energy embodiment), the radiation source comprises three separate generators of radiation, one producing 14 MeV neutrons, one producing 2.45 MeV neutrons and the last producing high-energy X- or gamma-ray radiations. The neutron sources are sealed tube neutron generators or other compact sources of a similar nature, producing neutrons via D-T and D-D fusion reactions.

The three radiation sources are operated sequentially as the object is scanned through the analyser. In a first variation, the object is scanned through the analyser three times, with one source being operated for each scan. In a second variation, each source has a separate associated detector and the object is scanned only once. In a third
5 variation, two or more of the radiation sources are operated at the same time with a single detector, and energy discrimination is used to distinguish the signals from the high energy neutrons, low energy neutrons and X- or gamma-rays.

It will be appreciated by persons skilled in the art that numerous variations
10 and/or modifications may be made to the invention as shown in the specific embodiments without departing from the spirit or scope of the invention as broadly described. The present embodiments are, therefore, to be considered in all respects as illustrative and not restrictive.

REFERENCES

- An, J., Xiang, X., Wu, Z., Zhou, L., Wang, L. and Wu, H., 2003. "Progress on developing ^{60}Co container inspection systems", *Applied Radiation and Isotopes* 58 (2003) 315-320.
- 5 Bartle, C.M., 1995. "Method and apparatus for detecting concealed substances", US Patent 5,479,023 (Dec. 26, 1995).
- Barzilov, A.P., Womble, P.C. and Vourvopoulos, G., 2001. "NELIS – a neutron
10 elemental analysis system of commodities of pallets", 2001 Office of National Drug Control Policy International Symposium.
- Brzosko, J.S. et al, 1992. "Advantages and limitations of 14-MeV neutron radiography", *Nuclear Instruments and Methods B72* (1992) 119-131.
- 15 Buffler, A., 2001. "Contraband detection by fast neutron scattering", 2nd National Nuclear Technology Conference, NAC, South Africa, 13-15 May 2001, paper D-03.
- Chen, G. and Lanza, R.C., 2000. "Fast neutron resonance radiography for elemental
20 mapping", Final Research Co-ordination Meeting on "Bulk Hydrogen Analysis using Neutrons", Cape Town, South Africa, 23-26 October 2000, pp. 31-38.
- Dokhale, P.A., Csikai, J., Womble, P.C. and Vourvopoulos, G., 2001. "NELIS – an illicit drug detection system", *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 576 (2001) 1061-1064.
- 25 Gozani, T., 1997. "Neutron based non-intrusive inspection techniques", *Proc. Internat. Conf. On Neutrons in Research and Industry*, Crete, Greece, 9-15 June 1996, SPIE Proceedings Series 2867 (1997) 174-181.
- 30 Hussein, E., 1992. "Detection of explosive materials using nuclear radiation: a critical review", *SPIE Vol. 1736* (1992) 130-137.
- Klann, R.T., 1996. "Fast neutron (14.5 MeV) radiography: a comparative study", 5th World Conference on Neutron Radiography, Berlin, 17-20 June 1996, 469 – 483.

- Lefevre, H.W, et al, 1997. "Using a fast neutron spectrometer system to candle luggage for hidden explosives", Proc. Internat. Conf. On Neutrons in Research and Industry, Crete, Greece, 9-15 June 1996, SPIE Proceedings Series 2867 (1997) 206-210.
- 5 Le Tourneur, P., Bach, P. and Dance, W.E., 1998. "Neutron fan beam source for neutron radiography purpose", 15th Int. Conf. on Applications of Accelerators in Research and Industry, Denton, Texas, USA, Nov. 4-7, 1998.
- 10 Mikerov, V.I. et al, 2000. "Investigation of prospects of fast neutron radiography on the basis of portable equipment", IAEA Coordinated Research Programme on "Bulk Hydrogen Analysis using Neutrons", Cape Town, South Africa, 23-6 October 2000, Report F1-RC-655.3.
- 15 Millen, M.J., Rafter, P.T., Sowerby, B.D., Rainbow, M.T. and Jelenich, L., 1990. "Plant trial of a fast neutron and gamma-ray transmission gauge for the on-belt determination of moisture in lump coke", Nuclear Geophysics 4 (1990) 215-226.
- Perion, D. et al, 2000. "System for differentiating between organic and inorganic materials", International Patent Application No. WO 00/43760.
- 20
- Rynes, J. et al, 1999. "Gamma-ray and neutron radiography as part of a pulsed fast neutron analysis inspection system", Nuclear Instruments and Methods A422 (1999) 895-899.
- 25
- Sawa, Z.P., Gozani, T. and Ryge, P., 1991. "Contraband detection system using direct imaging pulsed fast neutrons", US patent No. 5,076,993, Dec. 31 1991.
- Tickner, J.R. and Sowerby, B.D., 2002. "A Detection System", Australian Provisional
- 30 Patent Application No. 2002953244, Filing Date: 10 December 2002.